## GUIDELINES FOR APPELLANTS TO ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

When a board of appeals considers a variance request, it is important that they keep in mind that the variance authority is designed to provide relief to a property owner from an ordinance requirement that is uniquely affecting a particular property. It is not designed as a technique to grant special favors to some persons or as a tool to solve a problem shared in common with other properties. When a problem is common, the appropriate solution is amendment of the text of the ordinance so all similarly situated property owners are treated equally. Often people will claim that a variance will allow them to make more money from the property. However, this is not a legitimate argument, since zoning is not intended to be used merely to permit the most profitable use of land, although reasonable use of property must be permitted.

## CHECKLIST TO GUIDE DECISIONS ON DIMENSIONAL VARIANCES

The most common appeals deal with requests for a variance from specific ordinance standards such as dimensional requirements of the ordinance including: yard requirements, setback lines, lot coverage, frontage requirements and density regulations.

Where there are practical difficulties preventing a property owner from conforming with the strict letter of the ordinance, the board of appeals has the power to grant dimensional variances. Typically, the following circumstances must exist:

- 1. Dimensional zoning requirements cannot be met on an existing lot due to narrowness, shallowness or irregular shape, or the topography or natural characteristics of the site (such as wetland, flood plain, bedrock condition, etc.) Inhibit the lawful location of a principal or accessory structure(such as septic system, garage, shed).
- 2. The problem creates a practical difficulty which is unique (because of the above or similar reasons) and is not shared by neighboring properties in the same zone. If the board of appeals finds that the problem is not unique, but common, amending the ordinance or a rezoning should be pursued.
- 3. The practical difficulty was not created by an action of the applicant and either existed at the time of adoption of the requirement from which the variance is requested, or is necessary as the result of governmental action (such as road widening). A self-created hardship is not typically a valid basis for a variance.
  - 4. The appellant presents information usually showing that the requested variance:
    - a) Will not be contrary with the intent and purpose of the zoning ordinance;
    - b) Will not cause a substantially adverse affect upon adjacent properties:
    - c) Will relate only to the property under control of the appellant;
    - d) Will not essentially alter the character of the surrounding area;
    - e) Will not increase the hazard from fire, flood or similar dangers; and
    - f) Will not increase traffic congestion.
- 5. The variance is the minimum necessary to permit reasonable use of the land and buildings for activities permitted in the zoning district.

The Michigan Court of Appeals has applied similar principles (widely recognized in many state courts) in recent variance cases:

- 1. To obtain a dimensional variance, the applicant must show "practical difficulty" by demonstrating:
- a) Whether strict compliance with area, setbacks, frontage, height, bulk or density would unreasonably prevent the owner from using the property for a permitted purpose, or would render conformity unnecessarily burdensome:
- b) Whether a variance would do *substantial justice* to the applicant as well as to other property owners in the district, or whether a lesser relaxation would give substantial relief and be more consistent with justice to others:
  - c) Whether the plight of the owner is due to unique circumstances of the property:
  - d) Whether the problem is self-created.
- 2. The ZBA must insure that the "spirit of the ordinance is observed, public safety secured and substantial justice done".

Note: Typically this means if D. is true, the decision is NO. If D. is false, and B. and C. are true, decision is probably YES (in this case, A. is probably also true). If applicant only meets A. and the problem is not self-created (D.), the decision is NO.

## NOTICE OF APPEAL.

TO: '	The Onekama Township Zoning Bo	pard of Appeals.
FROM:	Name of Applicant	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case number Date rec'd Fee rec'd
	Address of Applicant	Receipt number Hearing date Appeals Board: Action
·	Telephone (home and business)	Date Expiration Date (attach inspection sheet)
APPLI	CANT'S CAPACITY IF NOT PROPERT	TY OWNER: (circle one)
	Builder Have option to	purchase other
addit	E NOTE: All questions must ional space is needed, number otal number of attached sheets	be answered completely. If and attach additional sheets. s is
I.	ACTION REQUESTED:	
Towns	we) the undersigned request hip Zoning Board of Appeals : (Check one only)	a hearing before the Onekama for the purpose of indicated
	1. Ordinance or Map	Interpretation.
	2. Variance.	
	3. Appeal from an add	ministrative decision.
	4. Other authorized	review.
11	PROPERTY INFORMATION:	
**	<del></del>	perty affected by this appeal:
	A. Legal description of pro	Portal arrespond of sure abbout.
		01
	Parcel Size: Parcel Id. (tax number)	
	Address of property:	

	<b>B</b> '.	List all deed restrictions (attach additional sheets if necessary)
	С.	Names and addresses of all other persons, firms or corporations having a legal or equitable interest in the land.
	D.	This area is (check one) unplatted, platted, will be platted. If platted (name)
	E.	Attach a copy of the application for land use permit and all other information required by Article VI. Section 1 of the Onekama Township Permanent Zoning Ordinance, as amended.
	F.	Present use of the property is
	G.	Present Land Use District classification of the property is
	H.	A previous appeal (choose one) has/has not been made with respect to these premises in the last three years. If a previous appeal, rezoning or special use permit application was made, state the date, nature of action requested and the decision:
	(Fi	ILED REQUEST AND JUSTIFICATION:  Il in one section only, corresponding to the request made Section I above)
	A.	Interpretation of Zoning Ordinance Map
		1. The appellant respectfully request the Board of Appeals make an interpretation of:
		(a) the location of Land Use District boundaries on the Onekama Township Zoning Map as applied to the property described in this application.
		(b) the provisions of Article Section of the Onekama Township Zoning Ordinance.
_		(c) Other, specify
-		

	2.	Please describe in detail the nature of the problem to be interpreted and the reason for the request.							
									<u> </u>
В.	Vari	ance from	the r	equire	ments o	f the	Zoning	Ordinanc	— е.
	The gran	appellant t a varia:	respe ace on	ctfull;	y reques bove de	sts the scribe	Board d prope	of Appea rty.	ls
1.	Indi the	cated belo subject of	w are	the or	dinance ce requ	requi est.	rements	which a	re
	setba lot o signs	overage			rd ent equired		height	eet parking	-
2.	the	e exactly property was ng Ordinan	hich	necess	itates				
									_
3.	requ	ribe the dire the grantion)	charac rantir	cterist ng of a	ics of varian	your p ce (in	roperty clude d	which imensions	a l
	too s	azrrow small shallow		elevat slope shape	ion		soil subsur other	face	
4.	The Zoni practince ord: when	ification appellant ing Ordinarctical different inance. In ther unnecesside answer	must nce to ficult with torder essary	show to his prices or the gen for the hardsl	hat streroperty unnece eral pu e Board nip exis	would ssary rpose of App ts, the	plication result hardship and interest to appell	on of the in os ent of the determinant should	<u>ne</u>

Can the property in question be used in a manner permitted by the Zoning Ordinance if a variance is

not	granted? yes no.
	no, what unnecessary hardship will result if the iance is not granted?
the act lan	the best of your knowledge, can you affirm that hardship described above was not created by an ion of anyone having property interests in the d after the Zoning Ordinance or applicable part reof became law? yes no.
reg	no, explain why the hardship should not be arded as self-imposed (self-imposed hardships not entitled to variances)
oth	the conditions on your property the result of er man made changes (such as the relocation of a d or highway)? yes no.
Ify	yes, explain how
ord	l strict application of the terms of the inance deny use of the property for any purpose which it is reasonably adapted? yes no.
If	yes, explain how
cir	the variance applied for due to unique cumstances present on your property or to general ditions in the area? yes no.
an	yes, explain any peculiar or unique conditions, d how many other properties in your area are ilarly affected
	ald granting the variance change the essential tracter of the area? yes no.
If	yes. how?
int	ald granting the variance be contrary to the cent and purpose of the Zoning Ordinance?  yes no.

	If yes, explain
h.	Other comments in support of the application
ADMI	NISTRATIVE DECISION;
The Sect	zoning administrator has ruled under Article ion of the Onekama Township Zoning Ordinance that
APPE	AL FROM ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION:
to (deci	appellant respectfully requests the Board of Appeals choose one) reverse/modify the Zoning Administrator's sion (copy attached) on application Nodated
It 1	s alleged that the Zoning Administrator erred in the
requ	erpretation of Article Section; his order, direment, decision, or determination regarding the
issu	ance of a Land Use Permit; or otherwise by (specify)
and	that reversal/modification of said decision should be
gran	ted because
	ify decision sought
spec	
	R AUTHORIZED REVIEWS:
OTHE The to g	R AUTHORIZED REVIEWS: appellant respectfully petitions the Board of Appeals rant the following
OTHE to g: spec ordi	R AUTHORIZED REVIEWS: appellant respectfully petitions the Board of Appeals
OTHE to grape ordinno	appellant respectfully petitions the Board of Appeals rant the following

ıv.		<pre>IMPACT ON SURROUNDING LANDS: If your request is granted:</pre>
	Α.	What are likely to be the positive and negative impacts of the surrounding land and neighbors?
	В.	How do you propose to minimize any potential negative impacts which your proposed activity may cause?
v.		AFFIDAVIT:
		The undersigned acknowledges that if a variance is granted or other decision favorable to the undersigned is rendered upon this appeal, the said decision does not relieve the applicant from compliance with all other provisions of the Onekama Township Zoning Ordinance; the undersigned further affirms that he/she or they is (are) the (specify
		owner, lessee, or other type of interest such as authorized agent for the owner) involved in the appeal
		and that the answers and statements herein contained and the information herewith submitted are in all respects true and correct to the best of his, or her knowledge and belief.
		Applicant(s) Signature(s)